

APPENDIX I – PUBLIC SERVICE CORRESPONDENCE

---





## PHONE LOG – NOTE TO FILE

Phone Contact:       Officer Marco Jimenez  
                              Los Angeles Police Department  
                              Community Relations Division  
                              100 West First Street, Suite 250  
                              Los Angeles, CA 90012  
                              213 486-6000  
                              [24990@lapd.lacity.org](mailto:24990@lapd.lacity.org)

Call By:                 Gary Schalman, Principal Planner  
Date:                    July 21, 2011

Officer Jimenez provided the following information:

- West Los Angeles Community Police Station has 214 sworn officers and 13 civilian personnel.
- Incident response times are 7.6 minutes for the West Los Angeles district and 5.8 minutes for the City as a whole.
- Officer Jimenez offered to send 2010 crime statistics for the West Los Angeles Community Police Station, for Reporting District (RD) 839 and for the City as a whole. Data sheet faxed separately and attached below.

ATTN GARY Fax

Tuesday July 26, 2011  
 Fax from Officer Timenez  
 Los Angeles Police Department

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT  
 CRIMES BY REPORTING DISTRICT OF OCCURRENCE

Community Relations Division  
Data for 2010

PROJECT NAME:

Types of Crime	839	WLA	CITYWIDE
Burglary	3	699	17347
Robbery	3	184	10904
Weapon	2	25	1247
Murder	<del>0</del>	2	299
Rape	<del>0</del>	19	809
Agg. Assault	1	81	9286
Other Asslt	22	869	32563
Agnst Fam Child	<del>0</del>	14	882
Disc Cond	3	20	417
VAG	2	29	1400
Other Sex Offense	2	89	3189
Pimp/Pan	<del>0</del>	5	55
Theft From Person	<del>0</del>	17	1268
Embezz	4	42	669
Burglary/Theft Veh.	51	1480	27541
Other Theft	123	1500	25744
Vehicle Theft	1	409	17510
Forg/Cntrft	20	160	2536
Fraud	<del>0</del>	9	299
Vand	13	776	19953
All other Viols	280	10506	271304
TOTAL	530	17025	445222

7/27/11

10/1/11

## I.2 Fire Services

---

## Gary Schalman

---

**From:** Ernest Bobadilla [ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2011 5:31 PM  
**To:** Shawn Gaver  
**Cc:** Trevor Richmond; Mark Woolf; Robert holloway; Luke Milick  
**Subject:** Re: 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard Residential Project  
**Attachments:** 10,000 Santa Monica Blvd Project.doc

Shawn - I have completed your informational request except for question number 7; you will need to contact Captain Robert Holloway, Fire Prevention Bureau (New Construction Services Unit, 213-482-6909) for information regarding fire protection specifications.

Please contact me if you need additional information.

Thanks, Ernie

On Mon, May 23, 2011 at 1:02 PM, Ernest Bobadilla <[ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org](mailto:ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org)> wrote:  
Shawn. I will take a look at what Capt Milick did not complete and get that info to you as soon as possible.

Thanks, Ernie

---

**From:** Shawn Gaver <[S.Gaver@pcrnet.com](mailto:S.Gaver@pcrnet.com)>  
**To:** Ernest Bobadilla <[ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org](mailto:ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org)>  
**Sent:** Mon May 23 12:39:36 2011  
**Subject:** RE: 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard Residential Project

Ernie,

Good afternoon. I hope you had a good weekend.

I just spoke with Captain Millick about the below-referenced information request. He explained that the LAFD Hydrant and Access Unit has provided all the information that it could, and that the responses to the questions in the attached letter would need to come from the LAFD Planning Section. He mentioned that you would be the correct person to head this response effort.

For your convenience, I have attached a copy of the information request letter. Please let me know if you are the correct person to handle this information request.

Thanks in advance,

Shawn

PCR Services Corporation  
Informational Request  
Project: 10000 Santa Monica Blvd.

May 24, 2011

1. Fire Station 92 (1.6 miles) has primary responsibility followed by Fire Station 37 (2.4 miles) and Fire Station 71 (2.4 miles). **\*\*(Fire Station 58 is 3.5 miles from project site)\*\***

- All firefighters are full-time employees
- Population Density for each Los Angeles Fire Station district is not captured in an LAFD database. However, the approximate total population relative to Council District 5 (in which FS 92 resides) is currently 271,450. There are approximately 5,729 people per square mile in this council district. Fire Station 92 covers approximately 3.1 sq. mi. which equates to approx. 17,190.

2. Fire Station 92 - 1 Light Force (ALS), 6 members  
1 Engine (BLS), 4 members  
1 Ambulance (ALS), 2 members

Fire Station 37 - 1 Light Force (BLS), 6 members  
1 Engine (BLS), 4 members  
1 Ambulance (ALS), 2 members

Fire Station 71 - 1 Engine (ALS), 4 members  
1 Ambulance (ALS), 2 members

3. 1st Alarm assignment to fire related incident: Light Force, Engine and Paramedic Ambulance 92, Battalion Command Team 9, Light Force and Engine 37, Engine and Paramedic Ambulance 71, Engine 59, Battalion Command Team 18 and Paramedic Captain 18.

Response to Medical Incident: Engine and Paramedic Ambulance 92

4. Fire Station 92  
14 Responses to Medical calls/day  
4.6 Responses to Fire related calls/day  
18.9 Total responses/day  
Response time for Structure Fire: 6.1 min.  
Response time for High Risk Medical Emergencies: 5.5 min.

Fire Station 37  
24.8 Responses to Medical calls/day  
8.4 Responses to Fire related calls/day  
33.2 Total responses/day  
Response time for Structure Fire: 5.7 min.  
Response time for High Risk Medical Emergencies: 5.2 min.

Fire Station 71

5.4 Responses to Medical calls/day

3.6 Responses to Fire related calls/day

9.0 Total responses/day

Response time for Structure Fire: 7.5 min.

Response time for High Risk Medical Emergencies: 6.8 min.

5. Fire Station 92 – Proposed removal of Engine 92 on 07/05/2011

Fire Station 37 - none

Fire Station 71 - none

6. Mutual Aid Assistance/Response from Beverly Hills Fire

The LAFD "Mutual Aid" agreement with Beverly Hills Fire Department, does not include a Beverly Hills Fire resource into the area of 10000 Santa Monica Blvd.

7. Refer to Fire Prevention Bureau

- New Construction Services Unit; Captain Holloway (213-482-6909)



## Gary Schalman

---

**From:** Ernest Bobadilla [ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2011 9:54 PM  
**To:** Shawn Gaver  
**Subject:** Re: 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard Residential Project

Hi Shawn - you are absolutely correct. Currently, under the proposed deployment model, staffing for Engine 92 will be eliminated after July 5th.

In which case Light Force 92 will respond to certain calls alone or with a company from another Fire Station.

Ernie.

---

**From:** Shawn Gaver <[S.Gaver@pcrnet.com](mailto:S.Gaver@pcrnet.com)>  
**To:** Ernest Bobadilla <[ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org](mailto:ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org)>  
**Sent:** Wed May 25 16:43:47 2011  
**Subject:** RE: 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard Residential Project

Ernie,

I am hoping you can help me with a quick follow-up question about Fire Station 92 under the FY2011-2012 Deployment Plan.

The way I understand it is that under normal circumstances, if an emergency event were to require the No. 92 Light Force Unit, both the Light Force Unit (apparatus and staff) and assisting Engine Unit (apparatus and staff) would respond to that event. However, under the FY2011-2012 Deployment Plan, only the Light Force Unit (apparatus and staff) would deploy out of Station 92. The assisting Engine Unit (apparatus and staff) would deploy from another nearby station.

Is my understanding correct?

Thanks,  
Shawn

---

**From:** Ernest Bobadilla [mailto:[ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org](mailto:ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org)]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 24, 2011 5:31 PM  
**To:** Shawn Gaver  
**Cc:** Trevor Richmond; Mark Woolf; Robert holloway; Luke Milick  
**Subject:** Re: 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard Residential Project

Shawn - I have completed your informational request except for question number 7; you will need to contact Captain Robert Holloway, Fire Prevention Bureau (New Construction Services Unit, 213-482-6909) for information regarding fire protection specifications.

Please contact me if you need additional information.

Thanks, Ernie

On Mon, May 23, 2011 at 1:02 PM, Ernest Bobadilla <[ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org](mailto:ernest.bobadilla@lacity.org)> wrote:  
Shawn. I will take a look at what Capt Milick did not complete and get that info to you as soon as possible.

Thanks, Ernie

## Gary Schalman

---

**From:** James Patrick Hayden [james.hayden@lacity.org]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 10, 2011 1:24 PM  
**To:** Shawn Gaver  
**Subject:** Re: 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard REsidential Project

Mr. Gaver,

I spoke with Capt Bobadilla and have determined his answer is correct. I also called BHFD and asked that they weigh in. They have not responded back. I can only conclude that based on our written Mutual Aid Agreement, 10000 Santa Monica Blvd. would remain an LA City response. We also double checked our information with our dispatchers, and were advised that no additional mutual aid agreements reflected in their dispatch protocol. I hope this meets your needs.

Thank You

On Tue, May 31, 2011 at 4:14 PM, Shawn Gaver <[S.Gaver@pcrnet.com](mailto:S.Gaver@pcrnet.com)> wrote:

James,

Good afternoon. This e-mail is a follow up to our phone conversation a few moments ago. Please find attached two documents: (1) the information request letter I sent to Ernest Bobadilla, and (2) Mr. Bobadilla's response letter. Since our project is located on the city boundary of Los Angeles and Beverly Hills, I am hoping that you can expand on Response No. 6.

Let me know if you have any questions or if you have trouble opening the attached files.

Thank you in advance for your time in this matter,

Shawn

### **Shawn M Gaver**

*Senior Environmental Planner  
Environmental Planning & Documentation*



233 WILSHIRE BLVD, SUITE 130, SANTA MONICA, CA 90401  
T: 310.451.4488 x1109

F: 310.451.5279







# Los Angeles Unified School District

## Facilities Services Division



OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT

FACILITIES SERVICES DIVISION

Date: June 23, 2011

TO: PCR SERVICES  
233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 130  
Santa Monica, CA 90401  
Attn: Shawn M. Gaver

FROM: Rena Perez, Director  
Master Planning & Demographics

SUBJECT: Environmental Impact Report Information Requested for: **10000 SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD, 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard, Santa Monica, CA 90401**

Included please find a **LAUSD Schools Enrollments and Capacities Report** for the schools that may be impacted by the development project(s) in question. This report contains data on each school's current and projected capacities, enrollments, and school calendars, and is designed to address any questions pertaining to overcrowding and factors related to school capacity.

Please note that the data in this report *already take into account* portable classrooms on site, additions being built onto existing schools, student permits and transfers, specific educational programs running at the schools, and any other operational activities or educational programming that affects the capacities and enrollments of LAUSD's schools. **Enrollment and capacity data are updated annually and become available after December 1 of each year.**

Additional information can be found in LAUSD's 2010 "Strategic Execution Plan" at [www.laschools.org/sep/](http://www.laschools.org/sep/), on LAUSD's Facilities main webpage at [www.laschools.org/](http://www.laschools.org/), or on LAUSD's general website, at [www.lausd.net](http://www.lausd.net).

### MASTER PLANNING AND DEMOGRAPHICS RESPONSE TO SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

- Questions: 1,2&4** Please see LAUSD Schools Enrollments and Capacities Report details;
- Question: 3** Please refer to the Enrollments and Capacities Report for identification of any new schools planned to relieve known overcrowding. LAUSD is currently using the 2010 Strategic Execution Plan (SEP) for long-range planning and implementation of the school construction program;

### ATTACHMENTS

1. LAUSD SCHOOLS ENROLLMENTS AND CAPACITIES REPORT
2. BOUNDARY DESCRIPTIONS FOR SCHOOLS SERVING PROPOSED PROJECT  
Attendance area boundary descriptions for existing schools identified as serving the proposed project.

Sincerely,

Rena Perez, Director

**LAUSD SCHOOLS ENROLLMENTS AND CAPACITIES**

**PROJECT SERVED: 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard Project, location of project; 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard, Santa Monica, CA 90067  
SCHOOL YEAR: 2010-2011**

**(Current and projected enrollments/capacities reflect data from School Year (SY) 2010-2011. SEE DISCLAIMER BELOW.)**

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Location Code	School Name	Current Calendar	Current Capacity	Resident Enrollment	Actual Enrollment	Current seating overage/(shortage)	Overcrowded Now?	Projected Capacity	Projected Enrollment	Projected seating overage/(shortage)	Overcrowding Projected in Future?
7740	WESTWOOD EL	1 TRK	830	761	778	69	No	812	781	31	No
8123	EMERSON MS	1 TRK	1016	604	868	412	No	900	629	271	No
8481	WEBSTER MS	1 TRK	870	857	704	13	Yes	1494	817	677	No
8886	UNIVERSITY SH	1 TRK	2214	853	2239	1361	No	2088	928	1160	No

**Schools Planned to Relieve Known Overcrowding**

NONE

**DISCLAIMER: CURRENT AND PROJECTED DATA ARE UPDATED ANNUALLY AND BECOME AVAILABLE AFTER DECEMBER 1ST OF EACH CALENDAR YEAR.**

**NOTES:**

- <sup>1</sup> School's ID code.
  - <sup>2</sup> School's name
  - <sup>3</sup> The current calendar the school is operating on. Schools operate on a 'multi-track' calendar (listed as 3-TRK or 4-TRK), because of overcrowded conditions.
  - <sup>4</sup> School's current operating capacity, or the maximum number of students the school can serve while operating on its current calendar. Includes magnet students.
  - <sup>5</sup> The total number of students living in the school's attendance area and who are eligible to attend the school. Includes magnet students.
    - Multi-track calendars are utilized as one method of providing relief to overcrowded schools by increasing enrollment capacities.
    - A key goal of the Superintendent and Board of Education is to return all schools to a traditional 2-semester calendar (1-TRK).
  - <sup>6</sup> The number of students actually attending the school now, including magnet students.
  - <sup>7</sup> Current seating overage or (shortage): equal to (current capacity) - (resident enrollment).
  - <sup>8</sup> Current overcrowding status of school. The school is currently overcrowded if any of these conditions exist:
    - School is currently on a multi-track calendar.
    - There is currently a seating shortage.
    - There is currently a seating overage of LESS THAN or EQUAL TO a 'safety margin' of 30 seats.
  - <sup>9</sup> The capacity the school will have after shifting to a 2-semester (1 TRK) calendar and implementing LAUSD operational goals. Includes magnet students.
  - <sup>10</sup> Projected 3-year total number of students living in the school's attendance area and who are eligible to attend the school. Includes magnet students.
  - <sup>11</sup> Projected seating overage or (shortage): equal to (projected capacity) - (projected enrollment).
  - <sup>12</sup> Projected overcrowding status of school. The school will be considered overcrowded in the future if any of these conditions exist:
    - School remains on a multi-track calendar.
    - There is a seating shortage in the future.
    - There is a seating overage of LESS THAN or EQUAL TO a 'safety margin' of 30 seats in the future.
- \* Independent Charter: Capacity and enrollment information is not reported.

## School Information Branch



Address : 10000 Santa Monica Bl  
Los Angeles, CA 90067

LOCN	SCHOOL NAME	GRADES	LOCAL DIST	BOARD DIST	ADDRESS	PHONE
7740	<a href="#">WESTWOOD EL</a>	K-5	3	4	2050 SELBY AVE LOS ANGELES 90025	(310)474-7788
8123	<a href="#">EMERSON MS</a>	6-8	3	4	1650 SELBY AVE LOS ANGELES 90024	(310)234-3100
8481	<a href="#">WEBSTER MS</a>	6-8	3	4	11330 W GRAHAM PL LOS ANGELES 90064	(310)235-4600
8886	<a href="#">UNIVERSITY SH</a>	9-12	3	4	11800 TEXAS AVE LOS ANGELES 90025	(310)914-3500

[Prepared by School Information Branch.](#)

© Los Angeles Unified School District. All Rights Reserved.

LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Facilities Services Division

LOC. CODE: 8123

**SUBJECT:** UPDATE BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION FOR RALPH WALDO EMERSON MIDDLE SCHOOL EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 1993 (CLARIFIED 10-7-1996) (UPDATED 7-1-1996; 7-1-2005).

Reconfiguration has changed the grade levels serviced by this school and the boundary description has been updated to reflect this change. This updating does not change the intent of the boundary as it was approved on July 1, 1995 (clarified 10-7-1996; updated 7-1-1996). The description starts at the most northwesterly corner and follows the streets in clockwise order. Boundaries are on the center of the street unless otherwise noted.

This is an official copy for your file.

AREA I

(GRADE 6)

MULHOLLAND DRIVE TO AND INCLUDING 12500 MULHOLLAND DRIVE \* A LINE SOUTHERLY FROM AND INCLUDING 12500 MULHOLLAND DRIVE, EXCLUDING COLDWATER CANYON AND ITS TRIBUTARY STREETS, TO THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* WILSHIRE BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD \* A LINE NORTHWESTERLY FROM THE INTERSECTION OF SKIRBALL CENTER DRIVE AND SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD TO EXTENSION OF CANYONBACK ROAD \* EXTENSION OF CANYONBACK ROAD TO 17000 MULHOLLAND DRIVE.

(GRADES 7 - 8)

MULHOLLAND DRIVE TO AND INCLUDING 8600 MULHOLLAND DRIVE \* A LINE SOUTHERLY AND EAST OF BRIARCREST LANE, BRIARCREST ROAD, ALTO CEDRO DRIVE, AND MEREDITH PLACE TO THE LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* WILSHIRE BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD \* A LINE NORTHWESTERLY FROM THE INTERSECTION OF SKIRBALL CENTER DRIVE AND SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD TO EXTENSION OF CANYONBACK ROAD \* EXTENSION OF CANYONBACK ROAD TO 17000 MULHOLLAND DRIVE.

AREA II

(GRADES 6 - 8)

WILSHIRE BOULEVARD \* CURSON AVENUE \* SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD \* HIGHLAND AVENUE \* VENICE BOULEVARD \* LA BREA AVENUE \* WASHINGTON BOULEVARD \* REDONDO BOULEVARD \* 21<sup>ST</sup> STREET \* DUNSMUIR AVENUE \* WASHINGTON BOULEVARD \* CARMONA AVENUE (BOTH SIDES EXCLUDED) \* BALLONA CREEK \* THURMAN AVENUE AND EXTENSION (BOTH SIDES EXCLUDED) \* SPAULDING AVENUE (BOTH SIDES EXCLUDED) \* PICO BOULEVARD \* BEDFORD STREET \* WHITWORTH DRIVE \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY.

NOTE: TRANSPORTATION PROVIDED FOR STUDENTS IN AREA II ONLY.

(OVER)



OPTIONAL: EMERSON AND VAN NUYS MIDDLE SCHOOLS

SERVICE ROAD (BOTH SIDES) \* MULHOLLAND DRIVE.

OPTIONAL: EMERSON AND WEBSTER MIDDLE SCHOOLS

SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* HEATH AVENUE AND EXTENSION EXCLUDING BOTH SIDES OF HILLGREEN DRIVE \* PICO BOULEVARD \* VETERAN AVENUE \* OLYMPIC BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD.

For assistance, please call Master Planning & Demographics, Facilities Services Division, at (213) 633-7606.

**APPROVED:** JAMES A. McCONNELL, JR., Chief Facilities Executive, Facilities Services Division

**DISTRIBUTION:**

School	Master Planning and Demographics
Pupil Statistics	School Traffic and Safety Education Section
Transportation Branch	Department of Transportation, City of L. A.

**LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**Business Services Division**

**LOC. CODE: 7740**

**SUBJECT: CLARIFICATION OF THE BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION FOR WESTWOOD SCHOOL EFFECTIVE FEBRUARY 4, 1963 (CLARIFIED 9-7-67, 9-1-68, 7-1-93).**

This clarification of the existing boundary description does not change the intent of the boundary as it was approved on February 4, 1963 (clarified 9-7-67, 9-1-68). The description starts at the most northwesterly corner and follows the streets in clockwise order. Boundaries are on the center of the street unless otherwise noted.

This is an official copy for your file.

(GRADES K-5)

SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* HEATH AVENUE AND EXTENSION, EXCLUDING BOTH SIDES OF HILLGREEN DRIVE \* PICO BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD.

For assistance, please call Demographic and Boundary Unit, Business Services Division, at 742-7596.

**APPROVED:** DAVID W. KOCH, Business Manager, Business Services Division

**DISTRIBUTION:** School Demographic and Boundary Unit  
Heritage School School Traffic and Safety Education Section  
Pupil Statistics Department of Transportation, City of L. A.  
Transportation Branch

**LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
Facilities Services Division

**LOC. CODE: 8481**

**SUBJECT: UPDATE BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION FOR DANIEL WEBSTER MIDDLE SCHOOL  
EFFECTIVE JUNE 12, 1989 (CLARIFIED 7-1-1993) (UPDATED 7-1-2006).**

Reconfiguration has changed the grade levels serviced by this school and the boundary description has been updated to reflect this change. This updating does not change the intent of the boundary as it was approved on June 12, 1989 (clarified 7-1-1993). The description starts at the most northwesterly corner and follows the streets in clockwise order. Boundaries are on the center of the street unless otherwise noted.

This is an official copy for your file.

(GRADES 6 - 8)

AREA #1: SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD \* OLYMPIC BOULEVARD \* VETERAN AVENUE \* PICO BOULEVARD \* WESTWOOD BOULEVARD \* NATIONAL BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* VENICE BOULEVARD \* CENTINELA AVENUE \* BUNDY DRIVE \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* NATIONAL BOULEVARD \* SAWTELLE BOULEVARD \* BROOKHAVEN AVENUE AND EXTENSION \* SAN DIEGO FREEWAY \* PICO BOULEVARD \* EXPOSITION BOULEVARD \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY.

AREA #2: LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* WHITWORTH DRIVE \* BEDFORD STREET \* PICO BOULEVARD \* SPAULDING AVENUE (BOTH SIDES) \* THURMAN AVENUE (BOTH SIDES) \* BALLONA CREEK \* CARMONA AVENUE (BOTH SIDES) \* WASHINGTON BOULEVARD \* DUNSMUIR AVENUE AND EXTENSIONS \* CARLIN STREET \* DU RAY PLACE AND EXTENSION \* PACIFIC ELECTRIC RAILWAY \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* BALLONA CREEK \* FAIRFAX AVENUE \* VENICE BOULEVARD TO AND INCLUDING 5951 VENICE BOULEVARD \* A LINE WESTERLY, SOUTH OF GUTHRIE AVENUE INCLUDING 2010 AND 2011 POINT VIEW STREET, 2010 AND 2011 STEARNS DRIVE, 2010 AND 2011 CRESCENT HEIGHTS BOULEVARD, TO AND EXCLUDING 2020 LA CIENEGA BOULEVARD \* LA CIENEGA BOULEVARD \* SAWYER STREET \* HOLT AVENUE \* EIGHTEENTH STREET \* ROBERTSON BOULEVARD \* SAWYER STREET \* HILLSBORO AVENUE \* MONTE MAR DRIVE \* CANFIELD AVENUE \* PICO BOULEVARD \* WETHERLY DRIVE.

Note: Transportation provided only for students residing in Area #2.

(GRADES 7 - 8)

EXPOSITION BOULEVARD \* PICO BOULEVARD \* SAN DIEGO FREEWAY \* BROOKHAVEN AVENUE AND EXTENSION \* SAWTELLE BOULEVARD \* NATIONAL BOULEVARD AND EXTENSION \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY.

(OVER)

(GRADES 6 - 8)

OPTIONAL: WEBSTER AND AUDUBON MIDDLE SCHOOLS

ANGELES VISTA BOULEVARD \* DEANE AVENUE \* 52ND STREET \* ARLINGTON AVENUE AND EXTENSION \* 57TH STREET AND EXTENSION \* 8TH AVENUE \* SLAUSON AVENUE \* DEANE AVENUE \* 58TH PLACE \* RIMPAU BOULEVARD \* 59TH PLACE \* KENISTON AVENUE \* 60TH STREET \* ALVISO AVENUE \* 57TH STREET \* VALLEY RIDGE AVENUE.

OPTIONAL: WEBSTER AND EMERSON MIDDLE SCHOOLS

SANTA MONICA BOULEVARD \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* HEATH AVENUE AND EXTENSION EXCLUDING BOTH SIDES OF HILLGREEN DRIVE \* PICO BOULEVARD \* VETERAN AVENUE \* OLYMPIC BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD.

For assistance, please call Master Planning & Demographics, Facilities Services Division, at (213) 893-6850.

**APPROVED:** JOSEPH A. MEHULA, Chief Facilities Executive, Facilities Services Division

**DISTRIBUTION:**

School	Master Planning and Demographics
Pupil Statistics	School Traffic and Safety Education Section
Transportation Branch	Department of Transportation, City of L. A.

**LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Facilities Services Division

**LOC. CODE:** 8886

**SUBJECT:** UPDATE BOUNDARY DESCRIPTION FOR UNIVERSITY HIGH SCHOOL EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2007 (UPDATED 7-1-2008).

Reconfiguration has changed the grade levels serviced by this school and the boundary description has been updated to reflect this change. This updating does not change the intent of the boundary as it was approved on July 1, 2007. The description starts at the most northwesterly corner and follows the streets in clockwise order. Boundaries are on the center of the street unless otherwise noted.

This is an official copy for your file.

(GRADES 9 - 12)

MULHOLLAND DRIVE TO AND INCLUDING 8600 MULHOLLAND DRIVE \* A LINE SOUTHERLY, EAST OF BRIARCREST LANE, ALTO CEDRO DRIVE, BRIARCREST ROAD AND MEREDITH PLACE \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* PICO BOULEVARD \* WESTWOOD BOULEVARD \* BROOKHAVEN AVENUE \* MILITARY AVENUE \* NATIONAL BOULEVARD AND EXTENSION \* LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT BOUNDARY \* MONTANA AVENUE \* GRETNA GREEN WAY \* SAN VICENTE BOULEVARD \* BUNDY DRIVE (BOTH SIDES AND ROSE MARIE LANE EXCLUDED) TO COYNE PLACE \* BUNDY DRIVE \* SUNSET BOULEVARD \* SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD \* A LINE NORTHWESTERLY FROM THE INTERSECTION OF SKIRBALL CENTER DRIVE AND SEPULVEDA BOULEVARD \* CANYONBACK ROAD.

OPTIONAL: UNIVERSITY AND VAN NUYS HIGH SCHOOLS

SERVICE ROAD (BOTH SIDES) \* MULHOLLAND DRIVE.

For assistance, please call Master Planning & Demographics, Facilities Services Division, at (213) 893-6850.

**APPROVED:** JOSEPH A. MEHULA, Chief Facilities Executive, Facilities Services Division

**DISTRIBUTION:** School  
Transportation Branch  
Master Planning and Demographics

Office of Environmental Health and Safety  
Department of Transportation, City of L. A.

**C.1 Residential Student Generation Impacts**

In order to analyze the impact on the School District's student enrollment from future residential units, Dolinka Group calculated SGRs for SFD units and MFA units which include condominiums, townhomes, duplexes, triplexes, and apartments. The process of determining SGRs involved cross-referencing the School District's enrollment data against the County Assessor's residential data (see the Residential Study for more information). The resulting SGRs are shown in Table 8.

**Table 8  
Student Generation Rates**

School Level	Single Family Detached Units	Multi-family Attached Units
Elementary School	0.1705	0.1141
Middle School	0.0747	0.0571
High School	0.1021	0.0694
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.3473</b>	<b>0.2406</b>

To blend the SGRs of the two (2) land uses into a single SGR for each school level, the land uses were weighted in proportion to each type's percentage of the future residential units to be constructed within the School District. Applying these weighting factors yields the following blended SGRs.

**Table 9  
Blended Student Generation Rates**

School Level	Student Generation Rates
Elementary School	0.1225
Middle School	0.0597
High School	0.0743
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.2565</b>

**C.2 Total Student Generation Impacts**

Multiplying net school district household impacts shown in Table 7 by the blended SGRs shown in Table 9 results in the average student generation impacts per 1,000 square feet of CID. These average student generation impacts are shown by school level in Table 10.

**Table 10**  
**Average Student Generation Impacts per 1,000 Square Feet CID**

<b>CID Land Use Category</b>	<b>Elementary School Impacts</b>	<b>Middle School Impacts</b>	<b>High School Impacts</b>	<b>Total Student Generation Impacts<sup>[1]</sup></b>
Retail and Services	0.0165	0.0080	0.0100	0.0345
Office	0.0257	0.0125	0.0156	0.0538
Research and Development	0.0224	0.0109	0.0136	0.0469
Industrial/Warehouse/Manufacturing	0.0198	0.0097	0.0120	0.0415
Hospital	0.0204	0.0100	0.0124	0.0428
Hotel/Motel	0.0083	0.0041	0.0051	0.0175
Parking Structure	0.0006	0.0003	0.0004	0.0013

[1] Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

**C.3 Inter- District Transfer Impacts**

The inter-district transfer rate is determined by calculating the ratio of student transfers into the School District's schools by the number of persons employed within its boundaries. Based on information provided by the School District, total student transfers into the School District's schools for school year 2009/2010 total 1,336 at the elementary school level, 1,061 at the middle school level, and 1,093 at the high school level. Employment within the School District's area is estimated at 2,394,195 persons based on employment estimates provided by SCAG. Table 11 shows the inter-district transfer rate by school level.

**Table 11**  
**Inter- District Transfer Rates**

<b>School Level</b>	<b>Inter- District Transfer Rate</b>
Elementary School	0.0006
Middle School	0.0004
High School	0.0005
<b>Total</b>	<b>0.0015</b>

In order to calculate total inter-district transfer impacts per 1,000 square feet of CID space, the inter-district transfer rate by school level in Table 11 must first be multiplied by the employment impact factors by CID land use category in Table 4. The resulting inter-district transfer impacts are displayed in Table 12.

**Table 12  
Inter-District Transfer Impacts per 1,000 Square Feet CID**

CID Land Use Category	Elementary School Inter-District Impacts	Middle School Inter-District Impacts	High School Inter-District Impacts	Total Inter-District Impacts
Retail and Services	0.0013	0.0009	0.0011	0.0033
Office	0.0021	0.0014	0.0017	0.0052
Research and Development	0.0018	0.0012	0.0015	0.0045
Industrial/Warehouse/Manufacturing	0.0016	0.0011	0.0013	0.0040
Hospital	0.0017	0.0011	0.0014	0.0042
Hotel/Motel	0.0007	0.0005	0.0006	0.0018
Parking Structure	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

**C.4 Total Student Generation Impacts**

To determine the total student generation impacts of CID on the School District, the average student generation impacts from Table 10 are added to the inter-district transfer impacts from Table 12. The resulting total student generation impacts are displayed in Table 13.

**Table 13  
Total Student Generation Impacts per 1,000 Square Feet CID**

CID Land Use Category	Total Elementary School Impacts	Total Middle School Impacts	Total High School Impacts	Total Student Generation Impacts <sup>(1)</sup>
Retail and Services	0.0178	0.0089	0.0111	0.0378
Office	0.0278	0.0139	0.0173	0.0590
Research and Development	0.0242	0.0121	0.0151	0.0514
Industrial/Warehouse/Manufacturing	0.0214	0.0108	0.0133	0.0455
Hospital	0.0221	0.0111	0.0138	0.0470
Hotel/Motel	0.0090	0.0046	0.0057	0.0193
Parking Structure	0.0006	0.0003	0.0004	0.0013

(1) Numbers may not sum due to rounding.



**D. Gross School Facilities Cost Impacts**

As noted in Section III, school facilities cost impacts equal the gross school facilities cost impacts (exclusive of residential revenues) associated with the total student generation impact of each CID category. These impact estimates are derived from the school facilities costs per student shown in Table 2 and the total student generation impacts shown in Table 13. Multiplying the total student generation impacts by the costs per student results in the gross school facilities cost impacts per 1,000 square feet shown in Table 14.

**Table 14  
Gross School Facilities Cost Impacts per 1,000 Square Feet CID (2010\$)**

<b>CID Land Use Category</b>	<b>Elementary School Impacts</b>	<b>Middle School Impacts</b>	<b>High School Impacts</b>	<b>Gross School Facilities Cost Impacts<sup>(1)</sup></b>
Retail and Services	\$1,215	\$851	\$991	\$3,057
Office	\$1,897	\$1,329	\$1,544	\$4,770
Research and Development	\$1,651	\$1,157	\$1,348	\$4,156
Industrial/Warehouse/Manufacturing	\$1,460	\$1,033	\$1,187	\$3,680
Hospital	\$1,508	\$1,061	\$1,232	\$3,801
Hotel/Motel	\$614	\$440	\$509	\$1,563
Parking Structure	\$41	\$29	\$36	\$106

[1] Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

**E. Fee Revenues**

As noted in Section III, fee revenues include two (2) components: residential revenues and potential CID School Fee revenues.

**E.1 Residential Revenues and Net School Facility Costs**

Residential revenues equal the maximum revenues from residential development associated with each category of net school district households per 1,000 square feet of CID floor space. These revenues are derived from a weighted average of (i) the School District's proposed Alternative No. 2 Fee of \$3.87 per square foot multiplied by (ii) the School District's weighted average square footage for residential units of 1,544 square feet per Future Unit. Based on this calculation, the residential revenues per unit in the School District are estimated to be \$5,975.

Multiplying net school district household impacts shown in Table 7 by residential revenues results in the residential revenues per 1,000 square feet of CID floor space shown in Table 15.

**Table 15  
Residential Revenues per 1,000 Square Feet CID (2010\$)**

<b>CID Land Use Category</b>	<b>Net School District Household Impacts</b>	<b>Average Residential Revenues</b>	<b>Residential Revenues</b>
Retail and Services	0.1344	\$5,975	\$803
Office	0.2101	\$5,975	\$1,255
Research and Development	0.1826	\$5,975	\$1,091
Industrial/Warehouse/Manufacturing	0.1620	\$5,975	\$968
Hospital	0.1669	\$5,975	\$997
Hotel/Motel	0.0681	\$5,975	\$407
Parking Structure	0.0050	\$5,975	\$30

**E.2 Net School Facilities Cost Impacts**

In order to calculate the net school facilities cost impacts per 1,000 square feet of CID, the residential revenues shown in Table 15 were subtracted from the gross school facilities cost impacts shown in Table 14. The results are the net school facilities cost impacts that must be funded by CID School Fees. The net school facilities cost impacts are shown in Table 16.

**Table 16  
Net School Facilities Cost Impacts per 1,000 Square Feet of CID (2010\$)**

<b>CID Land Use Category</b>	<b>Gross School Facilities Cost Impacts</b>	<b>Residential Revenues</b>	<b>Net School Facilities Cost Impacts <sup>[1]</sup></b>
Retail and Services	\$3,057	\$803	\$2,254
Office	\$4,770	\$1,255	\$3,515
Research and Development	\$4,156	\$1,091	\$3,065
Industrial/Warehouse/Manufacturing	\$3,680	\$968	\$2,712
Hospital	\$3,801	\$997	\$2,804
Hotel/Motel	\$1,563	\$407	\$1,156
Parking Structure	\$106	\$30	\$76

[1] Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

### E.3 Potential Commercial/Industrial School Fee Revenues

Potential commercial/industrial School Fee revenues equal \$470 per 1,000 square feet of commercial/industrial development. This School Fee is based on the current maximum commercial/industrial School Fee of \$0.47 per square foot.

### F. Justification of Commercial/Industrial School Fees

Dividing net school facilities cost impacts shown in Table 16 by \$470 for each land use category results in the cost-revenue ratios shown in Table 17. The cost-revenue ratios determine whether the maximum CID School Fee can be justified. In calculating the ratios, only net school facilities cost impacts are considered in comparison to the CID School Fee revenues.

**Table 17  
Cost Revenue Ratios**

<b>CID Land Use Category</b>	<b>Cost-Revenue Ratio</b>
Retail and Services	4.7957
Office	7.4787
Research and Development	6.5213
Industrial/Warehouse/Manufacturing	5.7702
Hospital	5.9660
Hotel/Motel	2.4596
Parking Structure	0.1617

On January 27, 2010, the SAB maintained the maximum CID School Fee authorized by Section 17620 of the Education Code at \$0.47 per square foot. Justification of the CID School Fee is based on a comparison of net school facilities cost impacts with the maximum CID School Fee revenues of \$470 per 1,000 square feet. As shown in Table 17, the School District is justified in levying the maximum School Fee on future CID of \$0.47 per square foot, or \$470 per 1,000 square feet of CID, for all land use categories except parking structures. For the parking structure category, the School District is justified in levying \$0.076 per square foot, or \$76 per 1,000 square feet of CID.

S:\Clients\Los Angeles Unified SD\Demographics\Fee Studies\SY09-10\Reports\Working\FSCID\_10420-3601\_D4.doc





**BOARD OF RECREATION AND  
PARK COMMISSIONERS**

BARRY A. SANDERS  
President

Vice President

W. JEROME STANLEY  
JILL T. WERNER  
JOHNATHAN WILLIAMS

JON KIRK MUKRI  
General Manager

# CITY OF LOS ANGELES



CALIFORNIA  
ANTONIO R. VILLARAIGOSA  
MAYOR

DEPARTMENT OF  
**RECREATION AND PARKS**  
221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 100  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

(213) 202-2681  
FAX (213) 202-2612

**MICHAEL A. SHULL**  
Superintendent  
Planning, Construction  
and Maintenance

April 15, 2011

PCR Services Corporation  
233 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 130  
Santa Monica, CA 90401  
Attention: Shawn M. Gaver, Senior Environmental Planner

Dear Mr. Gaver:

## **REQUEST FOR INFORMATION REGARDING RECREATIONAL AND PARK SERVICES FOR THE 10000 SANTA MONICA RESIDENTIAL PROJECT IN THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES**

---

The following information has been prepared in response to your request for Recreation and Parks information relative to the proposed 10000 Santa Monica Residential Project Environmental Impact Report. This proposed project includes the development of 283 residential units on an approximately 2.12 acre site located at 10000 Santa Monica Boulevard, in the Century City area of the City of Los Angeles.

*1. The name, location, size, park classification (regional, community, neighborhood, or special use), and available facilities within the parks that would serve the project site;*

The following Department of Recreation and Parks facilities are less than 10 acres and so are classified as neighborhood parks and are located within a one mile radius of the project site:

- Holmby Park, an 8.52 acre neighborhood park located at 601 Club View Drive. Holmby Park includes the Armand Hammer Golf Course.

The following Department of Recreation and Parks facilities are between 10 and 50 acres and so are classified as community parks and located within a two mile radius of the project site:

- Cheviot Hills Park, a 40 acre community park located at 2551 Motor Avenue. Cheviot Hills Park includes the Rancho Park Golf Course.
- Westwood Park, a 26.70 acre community park located at 1350 Sepulveda Avenue.

For additional information regarding facilities and features available in these parks visit our website: [www.laparks.org](http://www.laparks.org)

*2. Existing Ratios of parkland per resident on a citywide basis, within the West Los Angeles Community Plan area, within Century City, and for the area serving the project site;*





- The City of Los Angeles has 0.70 acres of neighborhood and community parkland per 1,000 residents.
- The West Los Angeles Community Plan Area, which includes the Century City and the area serving the project site, has a ratio of 0.77 acres of neighborhood and community parkland per 1,000 residents.

*3. Current capacity and level of use of parks and recreational facilities near the Project site;*

The Department of Recreation and Parks does not have readily available statistics regarding the service demand of parks and recreational facilities. However, this project is located in a heavily populated area, with high numbers of youth, families, and seniors who extensively utilize local parks and recreational facilities, especially active recreation features.

*4. Future plans for the construction or expansion of parks and recreational facilities;*

The Department does not have current plans for construction or expansion of parks and recreational facilities within a two mile radius of the project site.

*5. Any City-adopted park and recreation standards and acreage goals to be used in analyzing the proposed Project;*

The City's standard ratio of neighborhood and community parks to population is four (4) acres per 1,000 people, per the Public Recreation Plan, a section of the Service Element of the City's General Plan. The project proposes the development of 283 new residential units which would add an estimated 549 new residents to this area and, in order to meet the City's standards for park acreage, would require the development of an additional 2.196 acres of neighborhood and community park acreage. The population increase associated with the proposed development would generate additional demand for added parks, improved sites, and recreation facilities and programs in an area where the existing supply of such facilities is already inadequate.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide information relative to the proposed project's impact on recreation and park services. Melinda Gejer, at (213) 928-9136 to arrange a meeting to discuss this project.

Sincerely,

JON KIRK MUKRI  
General Manager



MICHAEL A. SHULL  
Superintendent

JKM/MAS/MG:ar

cc: Jimmy Liao, Dept of City Planning (MS 395)  
Melinda Gejer, City Planning Associate  
Reading File





## Gary Schalman

---

**From:** Molles, Joseph [jmolles@lapl.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, April 26, 2011 7:46 AM  
**To:** Shawn Gaver  
**Subject:** Re: Request for Information Re: Library Services - 10000 Santa Monica Blvd. Res. Project

The following is our response to your questions:

1. Libraries that would serve the proposed project:

West Los Angeles Regional Branch Library - 2.5 miles from project 11360 Santa Monica Blvd.  
Los Angeles, CA 90025

Westwood Branch Library - 2.8 miles from project  
1246 Glendon Ave.  
Westwood, CA 90024

Robertson Branch Library - 2.9 miles from project  
1719 S. Robertson Blvd.  
Los Angeles, CA 90035

Palms-Rancho Park Branch Library - 3.0 miles from project 2920 Overland Ave.  
Los Angeles, CA 90064

2. Size (Square Feet):

The West Los Angeles Regional Branch Library is a 13,740 sq. ft. facility.

The Westwood Branch Library is a 12,500 sq. ft. facility.

The Robertson Branch Library is a 9,035 sq. ft. facility.

The Palms-Rancho Park Branch Library is a 10,500 sq. ft. facility.

3. Collection size/Circulation:

West Los Angeles Branch Library - 47,123 Volumes/Circulation - 123,274 Westwood Branch

Library - 62,779 Volumes/Circulation - 249,767 Robertson Branch Library - 40,324

Volumes/Circulation - 204,040 Palms-Rancho Park Branch Library - 53,387 Volumes/Circulation -  
252,557

4. Staffing Levels:

West Los Angeles - 8.5 Full-time Employees Westwood - 7.5 Full-time Employees Robertson - 7.5  
Full-time Employees Palms-Rancho Park - 10 Full-time Employees

5. Current/Future Service Population:

West Los Angeles - 35,403 (2000 census)/Per Planning Department's estimation, the population  
will reach 39,147 by 2010 and 40,200 by 2020.

Westwood - 69,154 (2000 census)/Per Planning Department's estimation, the population will  
reach 76,725 by 2010 and 78,800 by 2020.

Robertson - 46,756 (2000 census)/Per Planning Department's estimation, the population will  
reach 51,559 by 2010 and 52,974 by 2020.

Palms-Rancho Park - 68,167 (2000 census)/Per Planning Department's estimation, the  
population will reach 75,149 by 2010 and 77,190 by 2020.

6. There are no planned improvements to add capacity through expansion.

On February 8, 2007, The Board of Library Commissioners approved a new Branch Facilities Plan. This Plan includes Criteria for new Libraries, which recommends new size standards for the provision of LAPL facilities - 12,500 s.f. for community with less than 45,000 population and 14,500 s.f. for community with more than 45,000 population and up to 20,000 s.f. for a Regional branch. It also recommends that when a community reaches a population of 90,000, an additional branch library should be considered for the area. There are no plans for the development of any other new libraries to serve this community.

--

Joseph Molles