

CITY OF LOS ANGELES  
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK  
ROOM 395, CITY HALL  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012  
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT  
**PROPOSED MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

<b>LEAD CITY AGENCY</b> LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING	<b>COUNCIL DISTRICT</b> 5
--	------------------------------

<b>PROJECT TITLE</b> ENV-2009-2070-MND	<b>CASE NO.</b> TT-71144-CN
---	--------------------------------

**PROJECT LOCATION**  
810-812 North Croft Avenue

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION**  
TENTATIVE TRACT NO. 70003 IS REQUESTING A ONE-LOT SUBDIVISION TO PERMIT THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW 4-STORY (45-FOOT TALL), SIX-UNIT RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM WITH FIFTEEN PARKING SPACES, INCLUDING THREE GUEST PARKING SPACES ON APPROXIMATELY 6,500 NET SQUARE FEET OF LAND IN THE [Q]R3-1 ZONE. HAUL ROUTE APPROVAL IS REQUESTED FOR APPROXIMATELY 2,200 CUBIC YARDS OF SOIL TO BE EXPORTED.

**NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT IF OTHER THAN CITY AGENCY**  
ANTOLY SHAMISOU  
3864 SHERWOOD PLACE  
SHERMAN OAKS, CA 91426

**FINDING:**  
The City Planning Department of the City of Los Angeles has Proposed that a mitigated negative declaration be adopted for this project because the mitigation measure(s) outlined on the attached page(s) will reduce any potential significant adverse effects to a level of insignificance  

(CONTINUED ON PAGE 2)

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S) FOR ANY MITIGATION MEASURES IMPOSED.

Any written comments received during the public review period are attached together with the response of the Lead City Agency. The project decision-maker may adopt the mitigated negative declaration, amend it, or require preparation of an EIR. Any changes made should be supported by substantial evidence in the record and appropriate findings made.

THE INITIAL STUDY PREPARED FOR THIS PROJECT IS ATTACHED.

NAME OF PERSON PREPARING THIS FORM	TITLE	TELEPHONE NUMBER
HAYDEE URITA-LOPEZ	PLANNING ASSISTANT	(213) 978-1453

ADDRESS	SIGNATURE (Official)	DATE
200 N. SPRING STREET, 7th FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA. 90012		09/09/2009

**I b2. Aesthetics (Landscaping)**

- Environmental impacts to the character and aesthetics of the neighborhood may result from project implementation. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:
- All open areas not used for buildings, driveways, parking areas, recreational facilities or walks shall be attractively landscaped and maintained in accordance with a landscape plan, including an automatic irrigation plan, prepared by a licensed landscape architect to the satisfaction of the decision maker.

**I b3. Aesthetics (Surface Parking)**

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to excessive ambient heat gain resulting from the new open-spaced parking lot. However, these impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
- A minimum of one 24-inch box tree (minimum trunk diameter of two inches and a height of eight feet at the time of planting) shall be planted for every four new surface parking spaces.
- The trees shall be dispersed within the parking area so as to shade the surface parking area and shall be protected by a minimum 6-inch high curb, and landscape. Automatic irrigation plan shall be approved by the City Planning Department.
- Palm trees shall not be considered in meeting this requirement.
- The genus or genera of the tree(s) shall provide a minimum crown of 30'- 50'. Please refer to the City of Los Angeles Landscape Ordinance (Ord. No.170,978), Guidelines K - Vehicular Use Areas.

**I b7. Aesthetics (Landscape Buffer)**

- Environmental impacts to adjacent residential properties may result due to the proposed use on the site. However, the potential impact will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
- A landscape plan shall be prepared by a licensed landscape architect to the satisfaction of the decision maker.

**I c1. Aesthetics (Light)**

- Environmental impacts to the adjacent residential properties may result due to excessive illumination on the project site. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:
- Outdoor lighting shall be designed and installed with shielding, so that the light source cannot be seen from adjacent residential properties.

**IV f. Tree Removal (Non-Protected Trees)**

- Environmental impacts from project implementation may result due to the loss of significant trees on the site. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
- Prior to the issuance of any permit, a plot plan shall be prepared indicating the location, size, type, and general condition of all existing trees on the site and within the adjacent public right(s)-of-way.
- All significant trees (8-inch or greater trunk diameter, or cumulative trunk diameter if multi-trunked, as measured 54 inches above the ground) on the site proposed for removal shall be replaced at a 1:1 ratio with a minimum 24-inch box tree.
- A Landscape Plan shall be prepared, indicating the location of all replacement trees, to the satisfaction of the decision-maker. Net, new trees, located within the parkway of the adjacent public right(s)-of-way, may be counted toward replacement tree requirements.
- Removal or planting of any tree in the public right-of-way requires approval of the Board of Public Works. Contact Urban Forestry Division at: 213-485-5675. All trees in the public right-of-way shall be provided per the current standards of the Urban Forestry Division the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Services.

**VI b1. Haul Routes**

- Environmental impacts on pedestrians and vehicles may result from project implementation due to haul routes. However, the potential impact will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
- Projects involving the import/export of 1,000 cubic yards or more of dirt shall obtain haul route approval by the Department of Building and Safety.
- The developer shall install appropriate traffic signs around the site to ensure pedestrian and vehicle safety.
- Fences shall be constructed around the site to minimize trespassing, vandalism, short-cut attractions and attractive nuisances.

**VI c1. Liquefaction**

- Environmental impacts may result due to the proposed project's location in an area with liquefaction potential. However, these potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:

- The project shall comply with the Uniform Building Code Chapter 18. Division 1 Section 1804.5 Liquefaction Potential and Soil Strength Loss which requires the preparation of a geotechnical report. The geotechnical report shall assess potential consequences of any liquefaction and soil strength loss, estimation of settlement, lateral movement or reduction in foundation soil-bearing capacity, and discuss mitigation measures that may include building design consideration.
- Building design considerations shall include, but are not limited to: ground stabilization, selection of appropriate foundation type and depths, selection of appropriate structural systems to accommodate anticipated displacements or any combination of these measures.

**VII b5. Explosion/Release (Asbestos Containing Materials)**

- Due to the age of the building(s) being demolished, asbestos-containing materials (ACM) may be located in the structure(s). Exposure to ACM during demolition could be hazardous to the health of the demolition workers as well as area residents and employees. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:
- Prior to the issuance of any demolition permit, the applicant shall provide a letter to the Department of Building and Safety from a qualified asbestos abatement consultant that no ACM are present in the building. If ACM are found to be present, it will need to be abated in compliance with the South Coast Air Quality Management District's Rule 1403 as well as all other State and Federal rules and regulations.
- Prior to issuance of any permit for demolition or alteration of the existing structure(s), a lead-based paint survey shall be performed to the written satisfaction of the Department of Building and Safety. Should lead-based paint materials be identified, standard handling and disposal practices shall be implemented pursuant to OSHA regulations.

**XI a2. Increased Noise Levels (Parking Structure Ramps)**

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to noise from cars using the parking ramp. However, the potential impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measures:
- Concrete, not metal, shall be used for construction of parking ramps.
- The interior ramps shall be textured to prevent tire squeal at turning areas.

**XIII a. Public Services (Fire)**

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the location of the project in an area having marginal fire protection facilities. However, this potential impact will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:
- The following recommendations of the Fire Department relative to fire safety shall be incorporated into the building plans, which includes the submittal of a plot plan for approval by the Fire Department either prior to the recordation of a final map or the approval of a building permit. The plot plan shall include the following minimum design features: fire lanes, where required, shall be a minimum of 20 feet in width; all structures must be within 300 feet of an approved fire hydrant, and entrances to any dwelling unit or guest room shall not be more than 150 feet in distance in horizontal travel from the edge of the roadway of an improved street or approved fire lane.

**XIII c1. Public Services (Schools)**

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to the location of the project in an area with insufficient school capacity. However, the potential impact will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:
- The applicant shall pay school fees to the Los Angeles Unified School District to offset the impact of additional student enrollment at schools serving the project area.

**XIV a. Recreation (Increase Demand For Parks Or Recreational Facilities)**

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to insufficient parks and/or recreational facilities. However, the potential impact will be mitigated by the following measure:
- Per Section 17. 12-A of the LA Municipal Code, the applicant shall pay the applicable Quimby fees for the construction of condominiums, or Recreation and Park fees for construction of apartment buildings.

**XV e. Inadequate Emergency Access**

- Environmental impacts may result from project implementation due to inadequate emergency access. However, these impacts can be mitigated to a level of insignificance by the following measure:
- The applicant shall submit a parking and driveway plan to the Bureau of Engineering and the Department of Transportation for approval that provides code-required emergency access.

**XVII b. Cumulative Impacts**

- There may be environmental impacts which are individually limited, but significant when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, other current projects, and probable future projects. However, these cumulative impacts will be mitigated to a level of insignificance by imposing the above mitigation measures.

**XVII d. End**

- The conditions outlined in this proposed mitigated negative declaration which are not already required by law shall be required as condition(s) of approval by the decision-making body except as noted on the face page of this document.
- Therefore, it is concluded that no significant impacts are apparent which might result from this project's implementation.

**CITY OF LOS ANGELES**  
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK  
ROOM 395, CITY HALL  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012  
**CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT**  
**INITIAL STUDY**  
**and CHECKLIST**  
(CEQA Guidelines Section 15063)

<b>LEAD CITY AGENCY:</b> LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING		<b>COUNCIL DISTRICT:</b> CD 5 - JACK WEISS	<b>DATE:</b> 08/11/2009
<b>RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES:</b> DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING			
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL CASE:</b> ENV-2009-2070-MND		<b>RELATED CASES:</b> TT-71144-CN	
<b>PREVIOUS ACTIONS CASE NO.:</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Does have significant changes from previous actions. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does NOT have significant changes from previous actions.	
<b>PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</b> 6-UNIT, NEW RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM			
<b>ENV PROJECT DESCRIPTION:</b> TENTATIVE TRACT NO. 70003 IS REQUESTING A ONE-LOT SUBDIVISION TO PERMIT THE CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW 4-STORY (45-FOOT TALL), SIX-UNIT RESIDENTIAL CONDOMINIUM WITH FIFTEEN PARKING SPACES, INCLUDING THREE GUEST PARKING SPACES ON APPROXIMATELY 6,500 NET SQUARE FEET OF LAND IN THE [Q]R3-1 ZONE. HAUL ROUTE APPROVAL IS REQUESTED FOR APPROXIMATELY 2,200 CUBIC YARDS OF SOIL TO BE EXPORTED.			
<b>ENVIRONMENTAL SETTINGS:</b> THE SUBJECT SITE IS LOCATED ON 810 NORTH CROFT AVENUE BETWEEN WILLOUGHBY AVENUE AND WARING AVENUE. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS AN APPROXIMATELY 6,500 NET SQUARE-FOOT, RECTANGULAR SHAPED LOT THAT IS CURRENTLY DEVELOPED WITH A 2-UNIT APARTMENT DWELLING THAT IS APPROXIMATELY 85 YEARS OLD, ALL EXISTING STRUCTURES WILL BE REMOVED. THE SITE HAS LESS THAN A 10% SLOPE AND IS LOCATED IN A LIQUEFACTION ZONE. FIVE TREES EXIST ON SITE AND ARE APPROXIMATELY 12 FEET TALL. ALL TREES WILL BE REMOVED AND THE PROJECT ENGINEER PROVIDED A CERTIFIED LETTER STATING THAT THERE ARE NO PROTECTED TREES ON THE SITE. ADJACENT USES ARE A MIX OF SINGLE AND MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS TO THE NORTH IN THE [Q]R3-1 ZONE, SINGLE FAMILY DWELLINGS TO THE SOUTH IN THE [Q]R3-1 ZONE; MULTI-FAMILY DWELLINGS TO THE WEST IN THE [Q]R3-1 ZONE, AND SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLINGS TO THE EAST IN THE R1-1 ZONE. CROFT AVENUE IS A LOCAL STREET DEDICATED TO A 60-FOOT WIDTH AT THE PROJECT'S STREET FRONTAGE. PER ORDINANCE NO. 178884, "Q" LIMITATIONS FOR HEIGHT ARE SET AT MAXIMUM 35 OR 45 FEET WHICH INCLUDE BUT ARE NOT LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS: A MINIMUM OF 1-FOOT FRONT AND SIDE YARDS SETBACKS DEPENDING ON HEIGHT; 50% OF COMMON OPEN SPACE; LANDSCAPING OF ALL OPEN AREAS; 24-INCH BOX STREET TREES; SCREENING OF PARKING LEVEL THAT EXCEEDS FINISHED GRADE; SCREENING OF ACCESSORY STRUCTURES SUCH AS AIR CONDITIONING UNITS; ARTICULATION OF BUILDINGS WITH DESIGN FEATURES THAT MATCH THE COLOR AND MATERIAL OF NEW BUILDING; AND MASSING OF BUILDINGS WITH A FRONT COURTYARD OR BALCONIES; AND BUILDING BREAKS. THE APPLICANT SHALL COMPLY WITH ORD. NO. 178884 AS REQUIRED BY THE HOLLYWOOD COMMUNITY PLAN.			
<b>PROJECT LOCATION:</b> 810-812 North Croft Avenue			
<b>COMMUNITY PLAN AREA:</b> HOLLYWOOD <b>STATUS:</b>  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Does Conform to Plan <input type="checkbox"/> Does NOT Conform to Plan		<b>AREA PLANNING COMMISSION:</b> CENTRAL	<b>CERTIFIED NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL:</b> MID CITY WEST
<b>EXISTING ZONING:</b> [Q]R3-1		<b>MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY ZONING:</b> 8 UNITS	

<b>GENERAL PLAN LAND USE:</b> MEDIUM RESIDENTIAL	<b>MAX. DENSITY/INTENSITY ALLOWED BY PLAN DESIGNATION:</b> 8 UNITS	<b>LA River Adjacent:</b> NO
	<b>PROPOSED PROJECT DENSITY:</b> 6 UNITS	

**Determination (To Be Completed By Lead Agency)**

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions on the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.

**PLANNING ASSISTANT**

**(213) 978-1453**

Signature

Title

Phone

**Evaluation Of Environmental Impacts:**

1. A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants based on a project-specific screening analysis).
2. All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
3. Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
4. "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of a mitigation measure has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analysis," cross referenced).
5. Earlier analysis must be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR, or negative declaration. Section 15063 (c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less Than Significant With Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.

6. Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated
7. Supporting Information Sources: A sources list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
8. This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whichever format is selected.
9. The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a. The significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b. The mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

**Environmental Factors Potentially Affected:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AESTHETICS <input type="checkbox"/> AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> AIR QUALITY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES <input type="checkbox"/> CULTURAL RESOURCES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GEOLOGY AND SOILS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS <input type="checkbox"/> HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY <input type="checkbox"/> LAND USE AND PLANNING <input type="checkbox"/> MINERAL RESOURCES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NOISE <input type="checkbox"/> POPULATION AND HOUSING	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC SERVICES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RECREATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UTILITIES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE
--	---	--

**INITIAL STUDY CHECKLIST** (To be completed by the Lead City Agency)

*Background*

**PROPONENT NAME:**

ANTOLY SHAMISOU

**PHONE NUMBER:**

(818) 613-7850

**APPLICANT ADDRESS:**

3864 SHERWOOD PLACE  
 SHERMAN OAKS, CA 91426

**AGENCY REQUIRING CHECKLIST:**

DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING

**DATE SUBMITTED:**

07/01/2009

**PROPOSAL NAME (if Applicable):**

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

<b>I. AESTHETICS</b>				
a.	HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON A SCENIC VISTA?			✓
b.	SUBSTANTIALLY DAMAGE SCENIC RESOURCES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, TREES, ROCK OUTCROPPINGS, AND HISTORIC BUILDINGS, OR OTHER LOCALLY RECOGNIZED DESIRABLE AESTHETIC NATURAL FEATURE WITHIN A CITY-DESIGNATED SCENIC HIGHWAY?			✓
c.	SUBSTANTIALLY DEGRADE THE EXISTING VISUAL CHARACTER OR QUALITY OF THE SITE AND ITS SURROUNDINGS?	✓		
d.	CREATE A NEW SOURCE OF SUBSTANTIAL LIGHT OR GLARE WHICH WOULD ADVERSELY AFFECT DAY OR NIGHTTIME VIEWS IN THE AREA?	✓		
<b>II. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES</b>				
a.	CONVERT PRIME FARMLAND, UNIQUE FARMLAND, OR FARMLAND OF STATEWIDE IMPORTANCE, AS SHOWN ON THE MAPS PREPARED PURSUANT TO THE FARMLAND MAPPING AND MONITORING PROGRAM OF THE CALIFORNIA RESOURCES AGENCY, TO NON-AGRICULTURAL USE?			✓
b.	CONFLICT THE EXISTING ZONING FOR AGRICULTURAL USE, OR A WILLIAMSON ACT CONTRACT?			✓
c.	INVOLVE OTHER CHANGES IN THE EXISTING ENVIRONMENT WHICH, DUE TO THEIR LOCATION OR NATURE, COULD RESULT IN CONVERSION OF FARMLAND, TO NON-AGRICULTURAL USE?			✓
<b>III. AIR QUALITY</b>				
a.	CONFLICT WITH OR OBSTRUCT IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SCAQMD OR CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PLAN?		✓	
b.	VIOLATE ANY AIR QUALITY STANDARD OR CONTRIBUTE SUBSTANTIALLY TO AN EXISTING OR PROJECTED AIR QUALITY VIOLATION?	✓		
c.	RESULT IN A CUMULATIVELY CONSIDERABLE NET INCREASE OF ANY CRITERIA POLLUTANT FOR WHICH THE AIR BASIN IS NON-ATTAINMENT (OZONE, CARBON MONOXIDE, & PM 10) UNDER AN APPLICABLE FEDERAL OR STATE AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARD?		✓	
d.	EXPOSE SENSITIVE RECEPTORS TO SUBSTANTIAL POLLUTANT CONCENTRATIONS?	✓		
e.	CREATE OBJECTIONABLE ODORS AFFECTING A SUBSTANTIAL NUMBER OF PEOPLE?			✓
<b>IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES</b>				
a.	HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT, EITHER DIRECTLY OR THROUGH HABITAT MODIFICATION, ON ANY SPECIES IDENTIFIED AS A CANDIDATE, SENSITIVE, OR SPECIAL STATUS SPECIES IN LOCAL OR REGIONAL PLANS, POLICIES, OR REGULATIONS BY THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME OR U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ?			✓
b.	HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON ANY RIPARIAN HABITAT OR OTHER SENSITIVE NATURAL COMMUNITY IDENTIFIED IN THE CITY OR REGIONAL PLANS, POLICIES, REGULATIONS BY THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME OR U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ?			✓
c.	HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON FEDERALLY PROTECTED WETLANDS AS DEFINED BY SECTION 404 OF THE CLEAN WATER ACT (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, MARSH VERNAL POOL, COASTAL, ETC.) THROUGH DIRECT REMOVAL, FILLING, HYDROLOGICAL INTERRUPTION, OR OTHER MEANS?			✓
d.	INTERFERE SUBSTANTIALLY WITH THE MOVEMENT OF ANY NATIVE RESIDENT OR MIGRATORY FISH OR WILDLIFE SPECIES OR WITH ESTABLISHED NATIVE RESIDENT OR MIGRATORY WILDLIFE CORRIDORS, OR IMPEDE THE USE OF NATIVE WILDLIFE NURSERY SITES?			✓

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

e.	CONFLICT WITH ANY LOCAL POLICIES OR ORDINANCES PROTECTING BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES, SUCH AS TREE PRESERVATION POLICY OR ORDINANCE (E.G., OAK TREES OR CALIFORNIA WALNUT WOODLANDS)?		✓		
f.	CONFLICT WITH THE PROVISIONS OF AN ADOPTED HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN, NATURAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION PLAN, OR OTHER APPROVED LOCAL, REGIONAL, OR STATE HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN?				✓

#### V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

a.	CAUSE A SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE CHANGE IN SIGNIFICANCE OF A HISTORICAL RESOURCE AS DEFINED IN STATE CEQA 15064.5?				✓
b.	CAUSE A SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE CHANGE IN SIGNIFICANCE OF AN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCE PURSUANT TO STATE CEQA 15064.5?				✓
c.	DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY DESTROY A UNIQUE PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCE OR SITE OR UNIQUE GEOLOGIC FEATURE?				✓
d.	DISTURB ANY HUMAN REMAINS, INCLUDING THOSE INTERRED OUTSIDE OF FORMAL CEMETERIES?				✓

#### VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS

a.	EXPOSURE OF PEOPLE OR STRUCTURES TO POTENTIAL SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS, INCLUDING THE RISK OF LOSS, INJURY OR DEATH INVOLVING : RUPTURE OF A KNOWN EARTHQUAKE FAULT, AS DELINEATED ON THE MOST RECENT ALQUIST-PRIOLO EARTHQUAKE FAULT ZONING MAP ISSUED BY THE STATE GEOLOGIST FOR THE AREA OR BASED ON OTHER SUBSTANTIAL EVIDENCE OF A KNOWN FAULT? REFER TO DIVISION OF MINES AND GEOLOGY SPECIAL PUBLICATION 42.				✓
b.	EXPOSURE OF PEOPLE OR STRUCTURES TO POTENTIAL SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS, INCLUDING THE RISK OF LOSS, INJURY OR DEATH INVOLVING : STRONG SEISMIC GROUND SHAKING?		✓		
c.	EXPOSURE OF PEOPLE OR STRUCTURES TO POTENTIAL SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS, INCLUDING THE RISK OF LOSS, INJURY OR DEATH INVOLVING : SEISMIC-RELATED GROUND FAILURE, INCLUDING LIQUEFACTION?		✓		
d.	EXPOSURE OF PEOPLE OR STRUCTURES TO POTENTIAL SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS, INCLUDING THE RISK OF LOSS, INJURY OR DEATH INVOLVING : LANDSLIDES?				✓
e.	RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL SOIL EROSION OR THE LOSS OF TOPSOIL?		✓		
f.	BE LOCATED ON A GEOLOGIC UNIT OR SOIL THAT IS UNSTABLE, OR THAT WOULD BECOME UNSTABLE AS A RESULT OF THE PROJECT, AND POTENTIAL RESULT IN ON- OR OFF-SITE LANDSLIDE, LATERAL SPREADING, SUBSIDENCE, LIQUEFACTION, OR COLLAPSE?				✓
g.	BE LOCATED ON EXPANSIVE SOIL, AS DEFINED IN TABLE 18-1-B OF THE UNIFORM BUILDING CODE (1994), CREATING SUBSTANTIAL RISKS TO LIFE OR PROPERTY?				✓
h.	HAVE SOILS INCAPABLE OF ADEQUATELY SUPPORTING THE USE OF SEPTIC TANKS OR ALTERNATIVE WASTE WATER DISPOSAL SYSTEMS WHERE SEWERS ARE NOT AVAILABLE FOR THE DISPOSAL OF WASTE WATER?				✓

#### VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

a.	CREATE A SIGNIFICANT HAZARD TO THE PUBLIC OR THE ENVIRONMENT THROUGH THE ROUTINE TRANSPORT, USE, OR DISPOSAL OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS?				✓
b.	CREATE A SIGNIFICANT HAZARD TO THE PUBLIC OR THE ENVIRONMENT THROUGH REASONABLY FORESEEABLE UPSET AND ACCIDENT CONDITIONS INVOLVING THE RELEASE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS INTO THE ENVIRONMENT?		✓		

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

c.	EMIT HAZARDOUS EMISSIONS OR HANDLE HAZARDOUS OR ACUTELY HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, SUBSTANCES, OR WASTE WITHIN ONE-QUARTER MILE OF AN EXISTING OR PROPOSED SCHOOL?				✓
d.	BE LOCATED ON A SITE WHICH IS INCLUDED ON A LIST OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS SITES COMPILED PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 65962.5 AND, AS A RESULT, WOULD IT CREATE A SIGNIFICANT HAZARD TO THE PUBLIC OR THE ENVIRONMENT?				✓
e.	FOR A PROJECT LOCATED WITHIN AN AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN OR, WHERE SUCH A PLAN HAS NOT BEEN ADOPTED, WITHIN TWO MILES OF A PUBLIC AIRPORT OR PUBLIC USE AIRPORT, WOULD THE PROJECT RESULT IN A SAFETY HAZARD FOR PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE PROJECT AREA?				✓
f.	FOR A PROJECT WITHIN THE VICINITY OF A PRIVATE AIRSTRIP, WOULD THE PROJECT RESULT IN A SAFETY HAZARD FOR THE PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE AREA?				✓
g.	IMPAIR IMPLEMENTATION OF OR PHYSICALLY INTERFERE WITH AN ADOPTED EMERGENCY RESPONSE PLAN OR EMERGENCY EVACUATION PLAN?				✓
h.	EXPOSE PEOPLE OR STRUCTURES TO A SIGNIFICANT RISK OF LOSS, INJURY OR DEATH INVOLVING WILDLAND FIRES, INCLUDING WHERE WILDLANDS ARE ADJACENT TO URBANIZED AREAS OR WHERE RESIDENCES ARE INTERMIXED WITH WILDLANDS?				✓

**VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY**

a.	VIOLATE ANY WATER QUALITY STANDARDS OR WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS?			✓	
b.	SUBSTANTIALLY DEplete GROUNDWATER SUPPLIES OR INTERFERE WITH GROUNDWATER RECHARGE SUCH THAT THERE WOULD BE A NET DEFICIT IN AQUIFER VOLUME OR A LOWERING OF THE LOCAL GROUNDWATER TABLE LEVEL (E.G., THE PRODUCTION RATE OF PRE-EXISTING NEARBY WELLS WOULD DROP TO A LEVEL WHICH WOULD NOT SUPPORT EXISTING LAND USES OR PLANNED LAND USES FOR WHICH PERMITS HAVE BEEN GRANTED)?				✓
c.	SUBSTANTIALLY ALTER THE EXISTING DRAINAGE PATTERN OF THE SITE OR AREA, INCLUDING THROUGH THE ALTERATION OF THE COURSE OF A STREAM OR RIVER, IN A MANNER WHICH WOULD RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL EROSION OR SILTATION ON- OR OFF-SITE?			✓	
d.	SUBSTANTIALLY ALTER THE EXISTING DRAINAGE PATTERN OF THE SITE OR AREA, INCLUDING THROUGH THE ALTERATION OF THE COURSE OF A STREAM OR RIVER, OR SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASE THE RATE OR AMOUNT OF SURFACE RUNOFF IN AN MANNER WHICH WOULD RESULT IN FLOODING ON- OR OFF SITE?			✓	
e.	CREATE OR CONTRIBUTE RUNOFF WATER WHICH WOULD EXCEED THE CAPACITY OF EXISTING OR PLANNED STORMWATER DRAINAGE SYSTEMS OR PROVIDE SUBSTANTIAL ADDITIONAL SOURCES OF POLLUTED RUNOFF?				✓
f.	OTHERWISE SUBSTANTIALLY DEGRADE WATER QUALITY?				✓
g.	PLACE HOUSING WITHIN A 100-YEAR FLOOD PLAIN AS MAPPED ON FEDERAL FLOOD HAZARD BOUNDARY OR FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP OR OTHER FLOOD HAZARD DELINEATION MAP?				✓
h.	PLACE WITHIN A 100-YEAR FLOOD PLAIN STRUCTURES WHICH WOULD IMPEDE OR REDIRECT FLOOD FLOWS?				✓
i.	EXPOSE PEOPLE OR STRUCTURES TO A SIGNIFICANT RISK OF LOSS, INJURY OR DEATH INVOLVING FLOODING, INCLUDING FLOODING AS A RESULT OF THE FAILURE OF A LEVEE OR DAM?				✓
j.	INUNDATION BY SEICHE, TSUNAMI, OR MUDFLOW?				✓

**IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING**

a.	PHYSICALLY DIVIDE AN ESTABLISHED COMMUNITY?				✓
----	---	--	--	--	---

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

b.	CONFLICT WITH APPLICABLE LAND USE PLAN, POLICY OR REGULATION OF AN AGENCY WITH JURISDICTION OVER THE PROJECT (INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE GENERAL PLAN, SPECIFIC PLAN, COASTAL PROGRAM, OR ZONING ORDINANCE) ADOPTED FOR THE PURPOSE OF AVOIDING OR MITIGATING AN ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECT?		✓	
c.	CONFLICT WITH ANY APPLICABLE HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN OR NATURAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION PLAN?			✓

**X. MINERAL RESOURCES**

a.	RESULT IN THE LOSS OF AVAILABILITY OF A KNOWN MINERAL RESOURCE THAT WOULD BE OF VALUE TO THE REGION AND THE RESIDENTS OF THE STATE?			✓
b.	RESULT IN THE LOSS OF AVAILABILITY OF A LOCALLY-IMPORTANT MINERAL RESOURCE RECOVERY SITE DELINEATED ON A LOCAL GENERAL PLAN, SPECIFIC PLAN, OR OTHER LAND USE PLAN?			✓

**XI. NOISE**

a.	EXPOSURE OF PERSONS TO OR GENERATION OF NOISE IN LEVEL IN EXCESS OF STANDARDS ESTABLISHED IN THE LOCAL GENERAL PLAN OR NOISE ORDINANCE, OR APPLICABLE STANDARDS OF OTHER AGENCIES?		✓	
b.	EXPOSURE OF PEOPLE TO OR GENERATION OF EXCESSIVE GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION OR GROUNDBORNE NOISE LEVELS?		✓	
c.	A SUBSTANTIAL PERMANENT INCREASE IN AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS IN THE PROJECT VICINITY ABOVE LEVELS EXISTING WITHOUT THE PROJECT?	✓		
d.	A SUBSTANTIAL TEMPORARY OR PERIODIC INCREASE IN AMBIENT NOISE LEVELS IN THE PROJECT VICINITY ABOVE LEVELS EXISTING WITHOUT THE PROJECT?	✓		
e.	FOR A PROJECT LOCATED WITHIN AN AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN OR, WHERE SUCH A PLAN HAS NOT BEEN ADOPTED, WITHIN TWO MILES OF A PUBLIC AIRPORT OR PUBLIC USE AIRPORT, WOULD THE PROJECT EXPOSE PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE PROJECT AREA TO EXCESSIVE NOISE LEVELS?			✓
f.	FOR A PROJECT WITHIN THE VICINITY OF A PRIVATE AIRSTRIP, WOULD THE PROJECT EXPOSE PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE PROJECT AREA TO EXCESSIVE NOISE LEVELS?			✓

**XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING**

a.	INDUCE SUBSTANTIAL POPULATION GROWTH IN AN AREA EITHER DIRECTLY (FOR EXAMPLE, BY PROPOSING NEW HOMES AND BUSINESSES) OR INDIRECTLY (FOR EXAMPLE, THROUGH EXTENSION OF ROADS OR OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE)?		✓	
b.	DISPLACE SUBSTANTIAL NUMBERS OF EXISTING HOUSING NECESSITATING THE CONSTRUCTION OF REPLACEMENT HOUSING ELSEWHERE?			✓
c.	DISPLACE SUBSTANTIAL NUMBERS OF PEOPLE NECESSITATING THE CONSTRUCTION OF REPLACEMENT HOUSING ELSEWHERE?			✓

**XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES**

a.	FIRE PROTECTION?		✓	
b.	POLICE PROTECTION?		✓	
c.	SCHOOLS?		✓	
d.	PARKS?		✓	
e.	OTHER GOVERNMENTAL SERVICES (INCLUDING ROADS)?			✓

**XIV. RECREATION**

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a.	WOULD THE PROJECT INCREASE THE USE OF EXISTING NEIGHBORHOOD AND REGIONAL PARKS OR OTHER RECREATIONAL FACILITIES SUCH THAT SUBSTANTIAL PHYSICAL DETERIORATION OF THE FACILITY WOULD OCCUR OR BE ACCELERATED?		✓		
b.	DOES THE PROJECT INCLUDE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES OR REQUIRE THE CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION OF RECREATIONAL FACILITIES WHICH MIGHT HAVE AN ADVERSE PHYSICAL EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT?				✓

**XV. TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION**

a.	CAUSE AN INCREASE IN TRAFFIC WHICH IS SUBSTANTIAL IN RELATION TO THE EXISTING TRAFFIC LOAD AND CAPACITY OF THE STREET SYSTEM (I.E., RESULT IN A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE IN EITHER THE NUMBER OF VEHICLE TRIPS, THE VOLUME TO RATIO CAPACITY ON ROADS, OR CONGESTION AT INTERSECTIONS)?				✓
b.	EXCEED, EITHER INDIVIDUALLY OR CUMULATIVELY, A LEVEL OF SERVICE STANDARD ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY CONGESTION MANAGEMENT AGENCY FOR DESIGNATED ROADS OR HIGHWAYS?				✓
c.	RESULT IN A CHANGE IN AIR TRAFFIC PATTERNS, INCLUDING EITHER AN INCREASE IN TRAFFIC LEVELS OR A CHANGE IN LOCATION THAT RESULTS IN SUBSTANTIAL SAFETY RISKS?				✓
d.	SUBSTANTIALLY INCREASE HAZARDS TO A DESIGN FEATURE (E.G., SHARP CURVES OR DANGEROUS INTERSECTIONS) OR INCOMPATIBLE USES (E.G., FARM EQUIPMENT)?				✓
e.	RESULT IN INADEQUATE EMERGENCY ACCESS?		✓		
f.	RESULT IN INADEQUATE PARKING CAPACITY?				✓
g.	CONFLICT WITH ADOPTED POLICIES, PLANS, OR PROGRAMS SUPPORTING ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION (E.G., BUS TURNOUTS, BICYCLE RACKS)?			✓	

**XVI. UTILITIES**

a.	EXCEED WASTEWATER TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE APPLICABLE REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD?				✓
b.	REQUIRE OR RESULT IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW WATER OR WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES OR EXPANSION OF EXISTING FACILITIES, THE CONSTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD CAUSE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS?				✓
c.	REQUIRE OR RESULT IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW STORMWATER DRAINAGE FACILITIES OR EXPANSION OF EXISTING FACILITIES, THE CONSTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD CAUSE SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS?				✓
d.	HAVE SUFFICIENT WATER SUPPLIES AVAILABLE TO SERVE THE PROJECT FROM EXISTING ENTITLEMENTS AND RESOURCE, OR ARE NEW OR EXPANDED ENTITLEMENTS NEEDED?				✓
e.	RESULT IN A DETERMINATION BY THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PROVIDER WHICH SERVES OR MAY SERVE THE PROJECT THAT IT HAS ADEQUATE CAPACITY TO SERVE THE PROJECTS PROJECTED DEMAND IN ADDITION TO THE PROVIDERS				✓
f.	BE SERVED BY A LANDFILL WITH SUFFICIENT PERMITTED CAPACITY TO ACCOMMODATE THE PROJECTS SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL NEEDS?				✓
g.	COMPLY WITH FEDERAL, STATE, AND LOCAL STATUTES AND REGULATIONS RELATED TO SOLID WASTE?		✓		

**XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

a.	DOES THE PROJECT HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO DEGRADE THE QUALITY OF THE ENVIRONMENT, SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCE THE HABITAT OF FISH OR WILDLIFE SPECIES, CAUSE A FISH OR WILDLIFE POPULATION TO DROP BELOW SELF-SUSTAINING LEVELS, THREATEN TO ELIMINATE A PLANT OR ANIMAL COMMUNITY, REDUCE THE NUMBER OR RESTRICT THE RANGE OF A RARE OR ENDANGERED PLANT OR ANIMAL OR ELIMINATE IMPORTANT EXAMPLES OF THE				✓
----	--	--	--	--	---

Potentially significant impact	Potentially significant unless mitigation incorporated	Less than significant impact	No impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

	MAJOR PERIODS OF CALIFORNIA HISTORY OR PREHISTORY?			
b.	DOES THE PROJECT HAVE IMPACTS WHICH ARE INDIVIDUALLY LIMITED, BUT CUMULATIVELY CONSIDERABLE? (CUMULATIVELY CONSIDERABLE MEANS THAT THE INCREMENTAL EFFECTS OF AN INDIVIDUAL PROJECT ARE CONSIDERABLE WHEN VIEWED IN CONNECTION WITH THE EFFECTS OF PAST PROJECTS, THE EFFECTS OF OTHER CURRENT PROJECTS, AND THE EFFECTS OF PROBABLE FUTURE PROJECTS).		✓	
c.	DOES THE PROJECT HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS WHICH CAUSE SUBSTANTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS ON HUMAN BEINGS, EITHER DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY?			✓

**DISCUSSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION** (Attach additional sheets if necessary)

The Environmental Impact Assessment includes the use of official City of Los Angeles and other government source reference materials related to various environmental impact categories (e.g., Hydrology, Air Quality, Biology, Cultural Resources, etc.). The State of California, Department of Conservation, Division of Mines and Geology - Seismic Hazard Maps and reports, are used to identify potential future significant seismic events; including probable magnitudes, liquefaction, and landslide hazards. Based on applicant information provided in the Master Land Use Application and Environmental Assessment Form, impact evaluations were based on stated facts contained therein, including but not limited to, reference materials indicated above, field investigation of the project site, and any other reliable reference materials known at the time.

Project specific impacts were evaluated based on all relevant facts indicated in the Environmental Assessment Form and expressed through the applicant's project description and supportive materials. Both the Initial Study Checklist and Checklist Explanations, in conjunction with the City of Los Angeles's Adopted Thresholds Guide and CEQA Guidelines, were used to reach reasonable conclusions on environmental impacts as mandated under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The project as identified in the project description may cause potentially significant impacts on the environment without mitigation. Therefore, this environmental analysis concludes that a Mitigated Negative Declaration shall be issued to avoid and mitigate all potential adverse impacts on the environment by the imposition of mitigation measures and/or conditions contained and expressed in this document; the environmental case file known as **ENV-2009-2070-MND** and the associated case(s), **TT-71144-CN**. Finally, based on the fact that these impacts can be feasibly mitigated to less than significant, and based on the findings and thresholds for Mandatory Findings of Significance as described in the California Environmental Quality Act, section 15065, the overall project impact(s) on the environment (after mitigation) **will not:**

- Substantially degrade environmental quality.
- Substantially reduce fish or wildlife habitat.
- Cause a fish or wildlife habitat to drop below self sustaining levels.
- Threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community.
- Reduce number, or restrict range of a rare, threatened, or endangered species.
- Eliminate important examples of major periods of California history or prehistory.
- Achieve short-term goals to the disadvantage of long-term goals.
- Result in environmental effects that are individually limited but cumulatively considerable.
- Result in environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

All supporting documents and references are contained in the Environmental Case File referenced above and may be viewed in the EIR Unit, Room 763, City Hall.

For City information, addresses and phone numbers: visit the City's website at <http://www.lacity.org> ; City Planning - and Zoning Information Mapping Automated System (ZIMAS) [cityplanning.lacity.org/](http://cityplanning.lacity.org/) or EIR Unit, City Hall, 200 N Spring Street, Room 763. Seismic Hazard Maps - <http://gmw.consrv.ca.gov/shmp/> Engineering/Infrastructure/Topographic Maps/Parcel Information - <http://boemaps.eng.ci.la.ca.us/index01.htm> or City's main website under the heading "Navigate LA".

PREPARED BY:	TITLE:	TELEPHONE NO.:	DATE:
HAYDEE URITA-LOPEZ	PLANNING ASSISTANT	(213) 978-1453	08/13/2009

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

APPENDIX A: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS EXPLANATION TABLE

**I. AESTHETICS**

a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS DEVELOPED WITH ONE-STORY, TWO-UNIT DWELLING AND THERE ARE NO SCENIC VISTAS VISABLE FROM THE PROJECT SITE. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY SCENIC RESOURCES OR ANY LOCALLY-RECOGNIZED DESIRABLE FEATURES WITHIN A SCENIC HIGHWAY. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WILL BE UP TO 45-FEET IN HEIGHT, AND WILL COMPLY WITH THE [QJR3-1 ZONE. AFTER COMPLYING WITH THE HEIGHT LIMITATIONS IN [QJR3-1 ZONE THE IMPACT WILL BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.</b>	I b2, I b3, I b7
d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT WILL BE UP TO 45 FEET IN HEIGHT. THE LIGHT FROM THE BUILDINGS WILL NEED TO BE SHIELDED DOWNWARD TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.</b>	I c1

**II. AGRICULTURAL RESOURCES**

a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE AND DOES NOT CONTAIN FARMLAND OF ANY TYPE. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE AND DOES NOT CONTAIN FARMLAND OF ANY TYPE. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN CONVERSION OF FARMLAND TO NON-AGRICULTURAL USE.	

**III. AIR QUALITY**

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THIS 6-UNIT CONDOMINIUM WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH OR OBSTRUCT IMPLEMENTATION OF EITHER PLAN.	
b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE MAY INCREASE THE EXISTING BASIN-WIDE AIR QUALITY VIOLATIONS, HOWEVER, THESE IMPACTS WILL BE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL BY THE</b>	<b>SEE MITIGATION MEASURE VI B2</b>

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
<b>PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES.</b>		
c. LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE CONSTRUCTION PHASE MAY INCREASE THE EXISTING BASIN-WIDE AIR QUALITY VIOLATIONS, HOWEVER, THESE IMPACTS WILL BE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL BY THE PROPOSED MITIGATION MEASURES.	
d. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE OPERATIONAL IMPACTS TO THE OCCUPANTS WILL BE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL BY THE USE OF AN AIR FILTRATION SYSTEM.</b>	III d1
e. NO IMPACT	NO OBJECTIONABLE ODORS ARE ANTICIPATED TO RESULT FROM THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	

#### IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

a. NO IMPACT	NO SENSITIVE SPECIES ARE EXPECTED TO BE LOCATED ON THE SITE. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
b. NO IMPACT	THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN RIPARIAN HABITAT OR SENSITIVE NATURAL COMMUNITIES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
c. NO IMPACT	THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN WETLANDS. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
d. NO IMPACT	THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN WILDLIFE CORRIDORS OR NURSERY SITES. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
e. POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE APPLICANT INDICATED THE REMOVAL OF FIVE TREES ON SITE. EACH TREE MEASURING EIGHT-INCHES OR MORE IN DIAMETER WILL BEED TO BE REPLACED. AFTER MITIGATION THE IMPACT WILL BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.</b>	IV f
f. NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH ANY HABITAT CONSERVATION PLANS.	

#### V. CULTURAL RESOURCES

a. NO IMPACT	THE SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN ANY HISTORIC RESOURCES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
b. NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED IN AN AREA OF KNOWN ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
c. NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED IN AN AREA OF KNOWN PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

d.	NO IMPACT	NO HUMAN REMAINS ARE ANTICIPATED TO BE LOCATED AT THE PROJECT SITE. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
----	-----------	---	--

**VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS**

a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN AN ALQUIST PRIOLO ZONE. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
----	-----------	--	--

b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS SUBJECT TO STRONG SEISMIC SHAKING; HOWEVER, THIS IMPACT WILL BE REDUCED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL BY FOLLOWING THE UNIFORM BUILDING CODE STANDARDS DURING CONSTRUCTION.</b>	VI aii
----	--	---	--------

c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE SITE IS LOCATED IN AN AREA THAT IS SUSCEPTIBLE TO LIQUEFACTION. AFTER IMPLEMENTING THE FOLLOWING MITIGATION MEASURES THE IMPACT WILL BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.</b>	VI c1
----	--	---	-------

d.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN EXPANSIVE SOILS. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
----	-----------	--	--

e.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE APPLICANT HAS INDICATED THAT 2,200 CUBIC YARDS OF DIRT WILL BE EXPORTED. AFTER MITIGATION THE IMPACT WILL BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.</b>	VI b1
----	--	--	-------

f.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPERTY IS STABLE AND IS NOT ANTICIPATED TO BECOME UNSTABLE DUE TO CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT.	
----	-----------	--	--

g.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN EXPANSIVE SOILS. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
----	-----------	--	--

h.	NO IMPACT	NO SEPTIC TANKS ARE PROPOSED AS PART OF THIS PROJECT. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
----	-----------	---	--

**VII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS**

a.	NO IMPACT	NO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ARE PROPOSED TO BE ROUTINELY TRANSPORTED, USED, OR DISPOSED OF AS PART OF THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	
----	-----------	--	--

b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE STRUCTURES LOCATED ON THE SITE MAY CONTAIN ASBESTOS CONTAINING MATERIALS (ACM) AND MAY CONTAIN LEAD PAINT. AN ACM SURVEY AND REMOVAL OF ANY ACM MUST BE COMPLETED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THE DEMOLITION</b>	VII b5
----	--	--	--------

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

	<p><b>PERMIT TO MITIGATE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL. ALSO IN THE EVENT THAT LEAD PAINT IS FOUND IN THE STRUCTURE, LEAD BASED PAINT SHALL BE REMOVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARD REMOVAL PROCEDURES.</b></p>	
c.	NO IMPACT	NO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS ARE PROPOSED TO BE USED WITH THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.
d.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS LOCATED IN A METHANE ZONE. AFTER MITIGATION THE IMPACT WILL BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.
e.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN AN AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN. THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WOULD NOT RESULT IN A SAFETY HAZARD FOR PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE AREA.
f.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED NEAR A PRIVATE AIRSTRIP. THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WOULD NOT RESULT IN A SAFETY HAZARD FOR PEOPLE RESIDING OR WORKING IN THE AREA.
g.	NO IMPACT	THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WOULD NOT INTERFERE WITH ANY EMERGENCY RESPONSE OR EVACUATION PLANS. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.
h.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN AN AREA OF WILDLAND FIRES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.

**VIII. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY**

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF THE SITE IS NOT PROJECTED TO VIOLATE ANY WATER QUALITY OR WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS.
b.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT SHOULD NOT CAUSE THE DEPLETION OF GROUNDWATER SUPPLIES OR THE INTERFERENCE OF GROUNDWATER RECHARGE. THE PROJECT WILL CONTINUE TO BE SUPPLIED WITH WATER BY THE LA DWP.
c.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT SITE DOES NOT CONTAIN A STREAM OR RIVER. THE SITE CURRENTLY DRAINS INTO THE SEWER AS WILL THE PROPOSED PROJECT. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures	
d.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT PROPOSES TO CONSTRUCT FOUR CONDOMINIUM UNITS; AND PROJECTS THAT CONTAIN TEN OR MORE UNITS ARE REQUIRED TO CONTROL STORMWATER RUNOFF USING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND A RETENTION BASIN. THE PROPOSED SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM WILL NOT REQUIRE THE CONTROL OF STORMWATER RUNOFF. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
e.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT PROPOSES TO CONSTRUCT FOUR CONDOMINIUM UNITS; AND PROJECTS THAT CONTAIN TEN OR MORE UNITS ARE REQUIRED TO CONTROL STORMWATER RUNOFF USING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES AND A RETENTION BASIN. THE PROPOSED SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM WILL NOT REQUIRE THE CONTROL OF STORMWATER RUNOFF. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	
f.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT IS NOT ANTICIPATED TO SUBSTANTIALLY DEGRADE WATER QUALITY.	
g.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED IN A FLOOD ZONE. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
h.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED IN A FLOOD ZONE. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
i.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED IN A POTENTIAL DAM INUNDATION ZONE. THE IMPACT IS CONSIDERED LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.	
j.	NO IMPACT	THE SUBJECT PROPERTY IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN A INUNDATION ZONE FOR SEICHES, TSUNAMIS OR MUDFLOW. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
<b>IX. LAND USE AND PLANNING</b>			
a.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT IS SURROUNDED BY MULTI-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT AND WOULD NOT RESULT IN PHYSICALLY DIVIDING AN ESTABLISHED COMMUNITY.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM WILL BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE GENERAL AND LAND USE PLAN. PER ORDINANCE NO. 178,884, THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL COMPLY WITH THE 'Q' CONDITION THAT LIMITS HEIGHT, AREA SETBACKS, OPEN SPACE, ARTICULATION, MASSING, AND BULDING BREAKS. BASED ON THE [Q]R3-1 ZONE THE SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM IS COMPATIBLE WITH THE SURROUNDING NEIGHBORHOOD CHARACTER.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH ANY APPLICABLE CONSERVATION OR NATURAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION PLANS DUE TO ITS LOCATION IN A DEVELOPED, URBAN AREA.	

#### X. MINERAL RESOURCES

a.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN A KNOWN AREA OF MINERAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT IS EXPECTED TO RESULT.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE SITE IS NOT LOCATED IN A KNOWN AREA OF MINERAL RESOURCES. NO IMPACT IS EXPECTED TO RESULT.	

#### XI. NOISE

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT EXPOSE PERSONS TO NOISE LEVELS IN EXCESS OF STANDARDS ESTABLISHED IN THE LOCAL GENERAL PLAN OR NOISE ORDINANCE.	
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROJECT CONSTRUCTION WILL BE TYPICAL OF OTHER MULTI FAMILY BUILDINGS IN THE AREA AND IS NOT ANTICIPATED TO RESULT IN EXCESSIVE GROUNDBORNE VIBRATION OR NOISE LEVELS.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE PARKING RAMPS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED FROM CONCRETE TO REDUCE THE TIRE NOISE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.</b>	XI a2
d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>DURING CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE APPLICANT WILL BE REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE CITY'S NOISE ORDINANCE AND THE ATTACHED CONSTRUCTION NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES TO REDUCE THE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN</b>	SEE MITIGATION MEASURE VI B2

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

**SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.**

e.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN AN AIRPORT LAND USE PLAN. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
f.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT IS NOT LOCATED IN THE VICINITY OF A PRIVATE AIRSTRIP. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	

**XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING**

a.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM PROJECT DEVELOPMENT SHOULD NOT INTRODUCE SUBSTANTIAL POPULATION GROWTH.	
b.	NO IMPACT	NO HOUSING WILL BE DISPLACED AS A RESULT OF THIS PROJECT.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WOULD NOT INVOLVE OR CAUSE THE CONSTRUCTION OF REPLACEMENT HOUSING ELSEWHERE.	

**XIII. PUBLIC SERVICES**

a.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE PROJECT IS LOCATED IN AN AREA WITH INADEQUATE FIRE RESPONSE TIMES. THE PROJECT WILL BE REVIEWED BY THE LA FIRE DEPARTMENT WHO MAY REQUIRE MITIGATION TO REDUCE THE FIRE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.</b>	XIII a
b.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE RESIDENTIAL PROJECT SHOULD NOT RESULT IN AN INCREASE OF POLICE RESPONSE TIMES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
c.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE PROJECT MAY INCREASE THE DEMAND ON AREA SCHOOLS, HOWEVER THE IMPACT WILL BE REDUCED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL BY THE PAYMENT OF SCHOOL FEES TO LAUSD.</b>	XIII c1
d.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE PROJECT MAY RESULT IN AN INCREASE IN THE USE OF PARKS, HOWEVER, THIS IMPACT WILL BE REDUCED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL BY THE PAYMENT OF QIMBY FEES. SEE MITIGATION MEASURE NO. XIV A</b>	SEE EXPLANATION
e.	NO IMPACT	CROFT AVENUE IS A LOCAL STREET DEDICATED TO AN 60-FOOT WIDTH AT THE PROJECT'S STREET FRONTAGE; AND FOLLOWS LOCAL STREET STANDARD. NO IMPACT WILL RESULT.	

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

**XIV. RECREATION**

a.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE INCREASED USE OF PARKS BY THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT WILL BE MITIGATED BY THE PAYMENT OF QUIMBY FEES.</b>	XIV a
b.	NO IMPACT	THE CONSTRUCTION OF SIX-HOUSING UNITS WILL NOT RESULT IN THE CONSTRUCTION OR EXPANSION OF RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.	

**XV. TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION**

a.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL RESULT IN AN INCREASE OF FOUR-HOUSING UNITS. THIS SHOULD RESULT IN NO INCREASE ON TRAFFIC IMPACT ON NORTH CROFT AVENUE.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN A SUBSTANTIAL INCREASE IN THE LEVEL OF SERVICE ON THE LOCAL STREETS.	
c.	NO IMPACT	NO CHANGE IN AIR TRAFFIC PATTERNS WILL RESULT FROM THE PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	
d.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY HAZARDOUS DESIGN FEATURES. NO IMPACT WOULD RESULT.	
e.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>BOTH LADOT AND LAFD WILL REVIEW THE PROJECT'S EMERGENCY ACCESS TO ENSURE THAT POTENTIAL IMPACTS ARE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.</b>	XV e
f.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT WILL PROVIDE A MINIMUM OF TWO AND A HALF GUEST PARKING SPACE PER DWELLING UNIT, WHICH SATISFIES ADVISORY AGENCY'S PARKING POLICY AND THE LAMC REQUIREMENT.	
g.	LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL FOLLOW ALL LAND USE PLANS AND POLICIES AND WILL NOT CONFLICT WITH ANY ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION POLICIES. AFTER COMPLIANCE WITH THE 'Q' CONDITION ON THIS SITE, THE IMPACT WILL BE REDUCED FROM LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT TO NO IMPACT.	

**XVI. UTILITIES**

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
---------	-------------	---------------------

a.	NO IMPACT	THE PROJECT'S SIX RESIDENTIAL UNITS SHOULD NOT EXCEED THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT REQUIREMENTS OF THE LOS ANGELES REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD.	
b.	NO IMPACT	THE CONSTRUCTION OF THIS SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM AND WILL NOT REQUIRE THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW WATER OR WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES OR THE EXPANSION OF EXISTING FACILITIES.	
c.	NO IMPACT	THIS SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM PROJECT SHOULD NOT REQUIRE THE CONSTRUCTION OF NEW STORMWATER DRAINAGE FACILITIES.	
d.	NO IMPACT	THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER HAS ADEQUATE SUPPLIES TO SERVE THIS RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	
e.	NO IMPACT	ANY INCREASE IN WASTEWATER, IF THERE IS ANY, CAN BE ACCOMMODATED BY THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PROVIDER. THE IMPACT WILL BE LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT.	
f.	NO IMPACT	THE LOCAL LANDFILLS HAVE SUFFICIENT CAPACITY TO SERVE THE RESIDENTIAL PROJECT.	
g.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE PROJECT WILL BE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE ON-SITE RECYCLING TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF TRASH GOING TO LANDFILLS. THIS WILL REDUCE THE SOLID WASTE IMPACT TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL.</b>	xvi f

#### **XVII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

a.	NO IMPACT	THE PROPOSED PROJECT DOES NOT RESULT IN ANY IMPACTS THAT WOULD CAUSE THE ABOVE.	
b.	POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT UNLESS MITIGATION INCORPORATED	<b>THE PROPOSED SIX-UNIT CONDOMINIUM PROJECT WILL RESULT IN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, HOWEVER EACH IMPACT CAN BE MITIGATED TO A LESS THAN SIGNIFICANT LEVEL WITH THE INCORPORATION OF THE ATTACHED MITIGATION MEASURES. AS SUCH, THE CUMULATIVE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT WILL NOT RESULT IN ANY CUMULATIVE IMPACTS.</b>	xvii b

Impact?	Explanation	Mitigation Measures
c. NO IMPACT	AFTER IMPLEMENTATION OF MITIGATION MEASURES, THE PROPOSED PROJECT DOES NOT HAVE ANY SIGNIFICANT DIRECT OR INDIRECT IMPACTS TO HUMAN BEINGS.	